## LABOUR DEPARTMENT

## Order

## The 18th November, 1982

No. ID/YMN/158/82/51373.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Kishori Lal and the management of the M/s Bhardawaj Metal Industries Jesico Colony, Jagadhiri, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for

adjudication: :

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3 Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Kishori Lal was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/187/82/51379.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman Shri Raghubir Singh Yadav and the management of M/s. Jagson Pal and Company, Mathura Road, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana, considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government Notification No. 11495-G-Lab 57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3 Lab-68/15254 dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Raghubir Singh Yadav, was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

## The 19th November, 1982

No. 1D/HSR/99/82/51918.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Bhajan Singh and the management of M/s The Hansi Co-operative Spinning Mill Ltd., Hansi (Hissar) regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO (E) Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970; the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Bhajan Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled.?

No. ID/AMB/114/82/51924.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Madan Lal and the management of M/s The Khadi Gram Udyog Mandal Kurukshetra, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3 Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968, under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Madan Lal was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?